



**REPORT of
CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

to
**CENTRAL AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE
23 AUGUST 2017**

MEMBERS' UPDATE

AGENDA ITEM NO. 7

Application Number	17/00643/HOUSE
Location	8 St Pauls Mews, Heybridge, Essex, CM9 4QZ
Proposal	Proposed side facing roof windows
Applicant	Gormer & Ms Macmaster
Agent	Mr David Jones - Alun Design Consultancy
Target Decision Date	24.08.2017
Case Officer	Mahsa Kavyani
Parish	Heybridge
Reason for Referral to the Committee / Council	Member Call In

1. RECOMMENDATION

Delegate Authority to the Chief Executive to **APPROVE** the application subject to the conditions set out at Section 8 of the report provided that the 21 day consultation period for those people that have been identified by the applicant as owners of the land expires without any new material considerations being raised.

7. CONSULTATIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

7.3 Representations received from Interested Parties (*summarised*)

- 7.3.1 An additional letter of objection has been received and the reasons for the objections are set out in the table below:

Mr Les Hammans, 6 Lake Mead, Heybridge, Maldon, CM9 4UJ

Objecting Comment	Officer Response
The application form has been completed incorrectly as Ownership Certificate B should have been completed rather than Ownership Certificate A.	<p>The comments made are noted and have been raised with the applicant. It has been confirmed that other parties should have been notified of the application by the applicant.</p> <p>This has now been done and as such the interested parties are required to be given a 21 day period to comment on the application. To reflect this, the recommendation of Officers is amended as set out above.</p>

7.3.2 Additional letters have been received **in support** of the application and the reasons for support are summarised as set out in the table below:

- Mr Cliff Mills: 3 St Pauls Mews, Heybridge, Maldon, Essex CM9 4QZ
- Miss Sharon Conway, 10 St Pauls Mews, Heybridge, Essex CM9 4QZ

Supporting Comment	Officer Response
<p>In response to objector letters the supporter has raised the following points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How would 4 rooflights impact the parking. • The windows face upwards, how would children be able to hang out of them. • Why has affordable housing been brought up and what relevance does this have. • Comments previously made have been removed from the Council's website. • Three objections from one property should be treated as one objection. • The Parish Council should not have objected to the application. • The children that live at the property have been well-brought up and are polite children. 	Noted.